# EXHIBIT A

CIVIL	ACTION COVER SHEET	DOCKET NUMBER	40 E	Trial Court of Massa The Superior Court	echusetts
PLAINTIFF(S):	Eric Frost & Dawn Frost			COUNTY	
ADDRESS:	129 South Road			SUFFOLK	
	Kensignton, NH 03833		DEFENDANT(S):	Suffells Construction Com	nanyr Ina
			DEFERDANTS).	Suffolk Construction Comp 65 Allerton Street, Boston, 1	
				65 Allerton Street, Boston, 1	VIA 02119
ATTORNEY:	Matthieu J. Parenteau			Sunbelt Rentals, Inc.	
ADDRESS:	Sheff Law		ADDRESS;	2431 Deerfield Drive	
	10 Tremont Street, 7th Floor			Fort Mill, SC 29715	
	Boston, MA 01208				
	<u> </u>				
BBO:					
	TYPE OF	ACTION AND TRACK	DESIGNATION (so	ce reverse side)	
CODE			TRACK		4.
B04_	Other Negligen	ce, Personal Inju	ry <u>F</u>	YES NO	5
"if "Other" pleas	e describe:				
	STATE	MENT OF DAMAGES P	URSUANT TO G.L	_ c. 212, § 3A	.9
the following is a	full, itemized and detailed statement of	the facts on which the u	mdersigned plaintif	for plaintiff counsel relies to determine in	oney damages. For
his form, disregar	d double or treble damage daims; indic	ale single damages onl	у.		
			TCLAIMS	and the second	,
		(allach additiona	sheels as necessa	ary)	
	edical expenses to date:				\$ 75,000
	hospital expenses ,doctor expenses				\$ -25,000
3. Total	chiropractic expenses				\$
4. Total 5. Total	physical therapy expensesother expenses (describe below)			**************************************	\$
				Subtotal (A):	\$
. Documented to:	sl wages and compensation to date		***************************************		\$
. Documented pr	operty damages to dated			***************************************	s 100,000
	icipated future medical and hospital expicipated lost wages				\$ 500.000
	led items of damages (describe below)				\$4,0 <u>00,000</u>
. Brielly describe	plaintiffs injury, including the nature an	d extent of injury:			
laintiff suffered a	severe and permanent internal injury, req	uiring multiple invasive	and reductive surge	ries, cannot eat or process lity of Life, Pain and Suffering OTAL (A-F)	:\$4,700,000
ood, and continues oss of Consortium	s to be disabled. Permanent intestinal inj	ury, Loss of Enjoyment	of Lue, Loss of Qua	uity of Life, Pain and Suffering.	
oss or Consoruum	1.	CONTRACT			Į
		(altach additional she	els as necessary)		
rovide a delailed (	description of claims(s):			·	
				TOTAL	•
ignature of Att	torney/Pro Se Plaintiff: X			Date:	:
90*	•	ber, case name, and	county of any re	lated actions pending in the Superio	r CourL
			11.50		- 1
ule 1:18) requiri	at I have complied with requirement	ormation about court	preme Judicial ( -connected dispu	Court Uniform Rules on Dispute Resulte resolution services and discuss water AND CERTIFY ON	vith them the
gnature of Atte	orney of Record: X	X / /	7)	\$5/18 THAT THE Date:	2 My 2018
		1	FOREGOI	NG DOCUMENT IS A FULL.	
	,		TRUE AND	O CORRECT COPY OF THE ON FILE IN MY OFFICE.	
	•		AND IN M	Y LEGAL CUSTODY.	•

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN
CLERK/MAGISTRATE
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT
WYGAUT M. Sellon
D. J. Jan Clark

Support	Si	PPO	LK
---------	----	-----	----

#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

MIDDLESEX, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPT. CIVIL ACTION NO.:

ERIC FROST, and DAWN FROST

18-1410 E

Plaintiff,

٧.

SUFFOLK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., and SUNBELT RENTALS, INC.

Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. The Plaintiff, Eric Frost, is a resident of New Hampshire, with a residence located at 129 South Road, Kensington, New Hampshire 03833, County of Rockingham.
- The Plaintiff, Dawn Frost, is a resident of New Hampshire, is married to Mr. Eric Frost, and maintains a residence located at 129 South Road, Kensington, New Hampshire, 03833, County of Rockingham.
- 3. The Defendant, Suffolk Construction Company, Inc., ("Suffolk") is a duly organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, is doing substantial business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with a principle place of business at 65 Allerton Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02119; maintaining a registered agent of the CT Corporation, 155 Federal Street, Ste 700, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110; and having a registration with the Massachusetts Corporations Divisions with Identification Number: 042776356.

4. The Defendant, Sunbelt Rentals, Inc., ("Sunbelt") is a duly organized under the laws of the State of South Carolina, is doing substantial business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with a principle place of business located at 2341 Deerfield Drive, Fort Mill, South Carolina, 29715; maintaining a registered agent of the CT Corporation, 155 Federal Street, Ste 700, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110; and having a registration with the Massachusetts Corporations Division as a Foreign Corporation with Identification Number 000940339.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 5. On June 26, 2017, the Defendant, Suffolk, by and through its agents, servants or employees, was the general contractor responsible for the preconstruction and construction services at Wynn Casino, 98 Horizon Way, Everett, MA, County of Middlesex. ("Subject Premises").
- 6. Defendant Suffolk is the general contractor on the Wynn Casino project.
- 7. Defendant Suffolk is required to provide a safe workplace for all employees, agents, and servants lawfully on the Wynn Casino construction site.
- 8. On or about June 26, 2017, Defendant Sunbelt, by and through its agents, servants or employees, provided the subject pile driver to Plaintiff's employer for use on the subject construction site.
- 9. On or about the morning of June 26, 2017, Defendant Sunbelt, by and through its agents, servants, or employees, delivered or allowed to be used, a defective and unreasonably dangerous pile driver ("Subject Pile") to the Subject Premises.
- 10. The pile driver in question had recently been repaired by Defendant Sunbelt.
- 11. On June 26, 2017, and at all times relevant hereto, the Plaintiff, Eric Frost, was employed by The Vynorius Companies and was assigned to work at the Subject Premises.
- 12. On or about June 26, 2017, the pile driver provided by Sunbelt failed, causing Mr. Frost's lower abdominal and groin area to be crushed. (Subject Incident)
- 13. As a direct result of the failure, Mr. Frost was seriously injured. Mr. Frost was brought by ambulance to Massachusetts General Hospital where he received two surgeries to his lower left abdomen, later treated for a blood clot and, other serious and life threatening personal injuries, some or all of which appear to be permanent in nature.

- 14. As a further direct result of the occurrence, Mr. Frost has sustained substantial medical expenses and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.
- 15. As a further direct result of the occurrence, Mr. Frost has sustained lost wages in a substantial amount and will continue to sustain such losses.
- 16. As a further direct result of the occurrence, Mr. Frost has required ongoing medical care, treatment, surgical treatment, and other modalities of treatment.
- 17. As a further direct result of the occurrence, Mr. Frost's injuries are permanent in nature and will have permanent residual effects, requiring long term care, treatment, with permanent economic loss and consequent pain, suffering, disability, anguish and the ongoing requirement for medical treatment.

#### **COUNT I**

#### Eric Frost v. Suffolk Construction

#### Negligence

- 18. Plaintiff, by reference herein, incorporates all paragraphs pled prior as if laid out here.
- 19. At all time relevant to this Complaint, Defendant, Suffolk Construction (Suffolk) owed the Plaintiff a duty to operate, manage, and/or control the work site in a safe, responsible, and reasonable manner so as to not endanger the life or safety of others.
- 20. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Suffolk breached this duty when it, through its agent, servant, or employee, carelessly, negligently, and unskillfully allowed an unreasonably dangerous condition and materials to be used at the subject premises.
- 21. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Suffolk further breached this duty when it, through its agent, servant, or employee, carelessly, negligently and unskillfully managed or controlled the pile driver at/or on the Subject Premises.
- 22. As a result of Defendant Suffolk's negligence and/or failure to appropriately control the pile driver, the pile driver was involved in an accident in which the Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 23. The injury was caused by reason of the negligence of Defendant Suffolk and was caused in no manner whatsoever by any act or failure to act on the part of the Plaintiff.
  - 24. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Suffolk, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost was seriously injured and brought by ambulance to Massachusetts

- General Hospital where he received two surgeries to his lower left abdomen, later treated for a blood clot and, other serious personal injuries, some or all of which appear to be permanent in nature.
- 25. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Suffolk, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has sustained substantial medical expenses and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.
- 26. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Suffolk, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has sustained lost wages in a substantial amount and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.
- 27. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Suffolk, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has required ongoing medical care, treatment, surgical treatment, physical therapy and other modalities of treatment.
- 28. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Suffolk, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost's injuries are permanent in nature and will have permanent residual effects, requiring long term care, treatment, with permanent economic loss and consequent pain, suffering, disability, anguish and the ongoing requirement for medical and surgical procedures and monitoring.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant Suffolk in an amount determined by this Court to be just and reasonable, including interest, attorneys' fees and costs, if applicable.

#### PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY AS TO ALL ISSUES.

#### **COUNT II**

### Juan C. Avila v. Sunbelt Rentals, Inc.

#### **Negligence**

- 29. Plaintiff, by reference herein, incorporates all paragraphs pled above.
- 30. Defendant Sunbelt Rentals, Inc. (Sunbelt) breached its duties to properly inspect/repair the pile driver, among other things, in order to verify that the pile driver was fit for use and was safe to those who would be using the pile driver.

- 31. Defendant Sunbelt was further negligent when it knew or should have known of the broken or defective pile driver and nonetheless delivered the pile driver to the subject premises, departed from custom and accepted standards by leaving and failing to warn of the pile driver's defective condition.
- 32. Defendant Sunbelt, as a seller and/or distributor of the pile driver, has, by matter of law or contract, assumed responsibility for any liability of the manufacturer of the pile driver, arising out of the said defective design, manufacture, marketing and/or failure to warn.
- 33. Defendant Sunbelt, as a seller, distributor or owner of the Subject pile driver, was negligent in the design, manufacture, marketing, rental and/or failure to warn of dangers of the pile driver, making it unreasonably dangerous to end users or workers.
- 34. Defendant Sunbelt, as the owner of the Subject pile driver, had recently repaired the subject pile driver.
- 35. Defendant Sunbelt failed to properly repair the Subject pile driver.

٠<u>′</u>

- 36. As a direct result of failed repair, the Subject pile driver failed, causing a Mr. Frost's injury.
- 37. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost was seriously injured, to wit: brought by ambulance to Massachusetts General Hospital where he received two surgeries to his abdomen area and, other serious personal injuries, some or all of which appear to be permanent in nature.
- 38. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has sustained substantial medical expenses and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.
- 39. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has sustained lost wages in a substantial amount and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.
- 40. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has required ongoing medical care, treatment, surgical treatment, physical therapy and other modalities of treatment.
- 41. As a direct result of the negligence of Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost's injuries are permanent in nature and will have permanent residual

effects, requiring long term care, treatment, with permanent economic loss and consequent pain, suffering, disability, anguish and the ongoing requirement for medical and surgical procedures and monitoring.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, demands judgment against the Defendant Sunbelt in an amount determined by this Court to be just and reasonable, including interest, attorneys' fees and costs, if applicable.

#### PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY AS TO ALL ISSUES.

#### **COUNT III**

#### Eric Frost v. Sunbelt Rentals, Inc.

#### **Breach of Implied Warranty**

- 42. Plaintiff, by reference herein, incorporates all paragraphs pled above.
- 43. Defendant Sunbelt, as seller or distributor of the pile driver, impliedly warranted that the pile driver was safe pursuant to the UCC 2-314, 2-315, 2-316 et seq.
- 44. The pile driver was not safe when it was delivered to the jobsite by Sunbelt.
- 45. The pile driver was not safe due to its condition.
- 46. The pile driver was not safe based upon its failure.
- 47. As a direct result of the breach of implied warranty by Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost was seriously injured, to wit: brought by ambulance to Massachusetts General Hospital where he received two surgeries to his lower left abdomen, later treated for a blood clot and, other serious personal injuries, some or all of which appear to be permanent in nature.
- 48. As a direct result of the breach of warranty by Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has sustained substantial medical expenses and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.
- 49. As a direct result of the breach of warranty by Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has sustained lost wages in a substantial amount and will continue to sustain such losses throughout the remainder of his life.

- 50. As a direct result of the breach of warranty by Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost has required ongoing medical care, treatment, surgical treatment, and other modalities of treatment.
- As a direct result of the breach of warranty by Defendant Sunbelt, its agents, servants or employees, Mr. Frost's injuries are permanent in nature and will have permanent residual effects, requiring long term care, treatment, with permanent economic loss and consequent pain, suffering, disability, anguish and the ongoing requirement for medical and surgical procedures and monitoring.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, demands judgment against the Defendant Sunbelt in an amount determined by this Court to be just and reasonable, including interest, attorneys' fees and costs, if applicable.

# COUNT IV: LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Dawn Frost v. Suffolk Construction Company, Inc.

- 52. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and reiterates each and every prior paragraph, as if laid out separately herein.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant Suffolk Construction Company, Inc., Dawn Frost has been deprived of the reasonably expected services, protections, care, assistance, society, companionship, comfort, guidance, counsel, and advice of Mr. Eric Frost, punitive damages, and all other damages recognized under law.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays judgment and damages against the Defendant for her loss of consortium, in a sum to be determined by the trial of this action, plus interest and cost as provided by law.

# COUNT V: LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Dawn Frost v. Sunbelt Rentals, Inc.

54. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and reiterates each and every prior paragraph, as if laid out separately herein.

As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant Sunbelt Rentals, Inc., 55. Dawn Frost has been deprived of the reasonably expected services, protections, care, assistance, society, companionship, comfort, guidance, counsel, and advice of Mr. Eric Frost, punitive damages, and all other damages recognized under law.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays judgment and damages against the Defendant for her loss of consortium, in a sum to be determined by the trial of this action, plus interest and cost as provided by law.

#### PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY AS TO ALL ISSUES.

The Plaintiffs,

By Their Attorneys,

Eric Frost and Dawn Frost,

SHEFF LAW OFFICES, P.C.

TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE. AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN

Frank J. Federico Jr. BBO # 550193

ffederico@she#law!com

Matthieu J. Parenteau

BBO# 679941

mparenteau@shefflaw.com 10 Tremont Street, 7th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02108

(P) 617.227.7000

(F) 617.227.8833

Dated: 2 May 2018

#### Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, \$S.

3.

TRIAL COURT OF THE COMMONWEALTH SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL DOCKET NO. 1884CV01410

2018 JUL 16 P 2: 21

Eric Frost and PLAINTIFF(S

Suffolk ConstructionDEFENDANT(S) Company, Inc., et. al.

SUMMONS

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO Sunbelt Rentals, Inc. (Defendant's name)

You are being sued. The Plaintiff(s) named above has started a lawsuit against you. A copy of the Plaintiff's Complaint filed against you is attached to this summons and the original complaint has been filed in the <u>Suffolk Superior</u> Court. YOU MUST ACT PROMPTLY TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

- 1. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing within 20 days. If you do not respond, the court may decide the case against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the complaint. You will also lose the opportunity to tell your side of the story. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing even if you expect to resolve this matter with the Plaintiff. If you need more time to respond, you may request an extension of time in writing from the Court.
- 2. **How to Respond**. To respond to this lawsuit, you must file a written response with the court and mail a copy to the Plaintiff's Attorney (or the Plaintiff, if unrepresented). You can do this by:
  - a. Filing your signed original response with the Clerk's Office for Civil Business, Suffolk Superior Court Three Pemberton Square Boston, MA (827) by mail or in person, AND
  - b. Delivering or mailing a copy of your response to the Plaintiff's Attorney/Plaintiff at the following address: Sheff Law, 10 Tremont St., 7th Fl., Boston, MA 02108
    - What to include in your response. An "Answer" is one type of response to a Complaint. Your Answer must state whether you agree or disagree with the fact(s) alleged in each paragraph of the Complaint. Some defenses, called affirmative defenses, must be stated in your Answer or you may lose your right to use them in court. If you have any claims against the Plaintiff (referred to as counterclaims) that are based on the same facts or transaction described in the Complaint, then you must include those claims in your Answer. Otherwise, you may lose your right to sue the Plaintiff about anything related to this lawsuit. If you want to have your case heard by a jury, you must specifically request a jury trial in your Answer or in a written demand for a jury trial that you must send to the other side and file with the court no more than 10 days after sending your Answer. You can also respond to a Complaint by filing a "Motion to Dismiss," if you believe that the complaint is legally invalid or legally insufficient. A Motion to Dismiss must be based on one of the legal deficiencies or reasons listed under Mass. R. Civ. P. 12. If you are filing a Motion to Dismiss, you must also comply with the filing procedures for "Civil Motions"

described in the rules of the Court in which the complaint was filed, available at www.mass.gov.courts/case-legal-res/rules of court.

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN
CLERK / MAGISTRATE
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT
HANG ALL THE TRIAL COURT

ЛЛУ 10. 2018

### RETURN OF SERVICE

I this day SERVED the within named SUNBELT RENTALS, INC.

by delivering to

NICK ANDRADE, CLERK, 9:55AM

X in hand

No. 155 FEDERAL ST, STE 700
in the city/town of BOSTON, an attested copy of the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND;
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET; TRACKING ORDER; PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES TO
THE DEFENDANT; PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE
DEFENDANT AND NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

Service and travel \$ 84

Process Server/

#### Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
2 2 CIVIL DOCKET NO. 1884CV01410

Eric Frost & Dawn Freshtiff(S),

Suffolk Construction PEFENDANT(S) Company, Inc., et. al.

SUMMONS

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO \_\_\_\_\_ Suffolk Construction \_\_\_\_ (D

. (Defendant's name)

You are being sued. The Plaintiff(s) named above has started a lawsuit against you. A copy of the Plaintiff's Complaint filed against you is attached to this summons and the original complaint has been filed in the <u>Suffolk Superior</u> Court. YOU MUST ACT PROMPTLY TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

- 1. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing within 20 days. If you do not respond, the court may decide the case against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the complaint. You will also lose the opportunity to tell your side of the story. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing even if you expect to resolve this matter with the Plaintiff. If you need more time to respond, you may request an extension of time in writing from the Court.
- 2. How to Respond. To respond to this lawsuit, you must file a written response with the court and mail a copy to the Plaintiff's Attorney (or the Plaintiff, if unrepresented). You can do this by:
  - a. Filing your signed original response with the Clerk's Office for Civil Business, Suffolk Superior Court. Three Pembertons, South Mer in person, AND Boston, MA 02108
  - b. Delivering or mailing a copy of your response to the Plaintiff's Attorney/Plaintiff at the following address: Sheff Law, 10 Tremont St., 7th Fl., Boston, MA 02108
- 3. What to include in your response. An "Answer" is one type of response to a Complaint. Your Answer must state whether you agree or disagree with the fact(s) alleged in each paragraph of the Complaint. Some defenses, called affirmative defenses, must be stated in your Answer or you may lose your right to use them in court. If you have any claims against the Plaintiff (referred to as counterclaims) that are based on the same facts or transaction described in the Complaint, then you must include those claims in your Answer. Otherwise, you may lose your right to sue the Plaintiff about anything related to this lawsuit. If you want to have your case heard by a jury, you must specifically request a jury trial in your Answer or in a written demand for a jury trial that you must send to the other side and file with the court no more than 10 days after sending your Answer. You can also respond to a Complaint by filing a "Motion to Dismiss," if you believe that the complaint is legally invalid or legally insufficient. A Motion to Dismiss must be based on one of the legal deficiencies or reasons listed under Mass. R. Civ. P. 12. If you are filing a Motion to Dismiss, you must also comply with the filing procedures for "Civil Motions" described in the rules of the Court in which the complaint was filed, available at www.mass.gov.courts/case-legal-res/rules of court.

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL.
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE,
AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH BONOVAN CLERK / MAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT BEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

JULY 10, 2018

## RETURN OF SERVICE

I this day SERVED the within named	SUFFOLK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.
	C/O CT CORP

by delivering to

NICK ANDRADE, CLERK, 9:55AM

 $\mathbf{X}$  in hand

No. 155 FEDERAL ST, STE 700
in the city/town of BOSTON, an attested copy of the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND;
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET; TRACKING ORDER; PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES TO
THE DEFENDANT; PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE
DEFENDANT AND NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

Service and travel \$

John Rymaszewski

Process Server/